

KOLKATA PORT TRUST

Reports/Returns for the period 01.07.08 to 30.09.08 under Section 25(1) to 25(3) of the RTI Act, 2005

Number of requests made to each public authority		Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act.	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	The details to indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and letter of the Act.	Suitable suggestions for reform, including recommendations those for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment to this Act or other legislation or common Law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the right to access the information.
(a)	Name of the Wing	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
52	Kolkata Port Trust	14 U/S.8(1)(g) - 5 U/S.8(1)(j) - 1 Others - 8	All appeals to CIC did not move through KoPT and hence, figures are available only in respect of those appeals where CIC notified dates of hearing. During this quarter, no such notice was received from CIC.	Nil	Rs. 522/-	In view of increasing number of RTI applications, the number of CPIO was increased from 8 to 16 during this quarter.	If frivolous appeals are preferred by any applicant and the public authority is put to certain expenses in attending CIC hearing, the travel costs of the officials of the concerned public authority should be borne by the appellant. Whether or not appeals are frivolous can be decided by the appropriate Information Commissioners.

Quarterly Summary for CPIOs of (Shri S.B.Das, Secretary KoPT and Appellate Authority) for quarter ending September, 2008

Sr. No	Name of CPIO & Address	No. of requests received during the quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Amount of charges collected during the quarter (in Rs.)	No. of decisions received from Appellate Authority	No. of decisions received from CIC	
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005																	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections				Total			
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other	Total			
1	Smt. S. Pradhan,	14										1				3	4	Rs.182/-	Nil	Nil
2	Shri G. Chakraborty	3															Nil	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
3.	Shri R. Srivastava	4															Nil	Rs. 30/-	1	Nil
4	Shri P. Munda	9													5		3	Rs.90	2	Nil
5	Shri P. Bala,	4															Nil	Rs.40/-	2	Nil
6.	Smt. S. Thakur	8															Nil	Rs.60/-	Nil	Nil
7.	Dr. P.K. Chatterjee	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
8.	Smt. M. Manna	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
9.	Dr. A.K. Naskar	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
10.	Shri I. Ghosh	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
11	Shri Subir Roy	2															N.A.	Rs.20/-	N.A.	N.A.
12.	Shri K. Chatterjee,	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
13	Shri S.N. Basu	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
14.	Shri S.K. Bhattacharjee	2														1	1	Rs.10/-	Nil	Nil
15.	Shri H.S. Banerjee	2														1	1	Rs.10/-	1	Nil
16.	Shri S.K. Saha Roy	4															Nil	Rs.50/-	Nil	Nil

FORM IIA

Quarterly Summary of Appellate Authorities for (Kolkata Port Trust) for quarter ending September, 2008

Sl. No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No. of Appeals Received During The Qtr	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions received from CIC		
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005														Number of decisions received during the quarter	Number of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections						Total
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	others			
1.	Shri S.B.Das, Secretary, Kolkata Port Trust,15,Strand Road, Kolkata PIN 700001	6								2						2	4	Nil	Nil

FORM III

Quarter wise analysis of requests for Information rejected in (name of Public Authority) under the RTI Act, 2005.

Section	QI	QII	QIII	QIV	TOTAL
Sec 8(1)(a)					
Sec8(1)(b)					
Sec 8(1)©					
Sec8(1)(d)					
Sec8(1)(e)	1				
Sec8(f)					
Sec8(1)(g)		5			
Sec8(1)(i)					
Sec8(1)(j)		1			
Sec 9					
Sec 11					
Sec 24					
Any Other	6	8			
Total	7	14			

KOLKATA PORT TRUST

Reports/Returns for the period 01.10.08 to 31.12.08 under Section 25(1) to 25(3) of the RTI Act, 2005

Number of requests made to each public authority		Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act.	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	The details to indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and letter of the Act.	Suitable suggestions for reform, including recommendations those for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment to this Act or other legislation or common Law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the right to access the information.
(a)	Name of the Wing	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
67	Kolkata Port Trust	12 U/S.8(1)(e) - 1 U/S.8(1)(g) - 4 Others - 7	All appeals to CIC did not move through KoPT and hence, figures are available only in respect of those appeals where CIC notified dates of hearing. During this quarter, one reference from CIC was received.	Nil	Rs. 798/-	Information is being provided to 16 CPIOs. Rules, regulations, notices, tenders, etc. are available in the website	If frivolous appeals are preferred by any applicant and the public authority is put to certain expenses in attending CIC hearing, the travel costs of the officials of the concerned public authority should be borne by the appellant. Whether or not appeals are frivolous can be decided by the appropriate Information Commissioners.

Quarterly Summary for CPIOs of (Shri S.B.Das, Secretary KoPT and Appellate Authority) for quarter ending December, 2008

Sr. No	Name of CPIO & Address	No. of requests received during the quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Amount of charges collected during the quarter (in Rs.)	No. of decisions received from Appellate Authority	No. of decisions received from CIC	
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005																	
			Sec 8(1)											Sections		Total				
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g *	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other				
1	Smt. S. Pradhan	10														2	2	Rs.92/-	2	Nil
2	Shri G. Chakraborty	1														1	1	Rs.10/-	Nil	Nil
3.	Shri R. Srivastava	3															Nil	Rs. 20/-	1	Nil
4	Shri P. Munda	16							4							2	6	Rs.160/-	6	1
5	Shri P. Bala	4															Nil	Rs.40/-	2	Nil
6.	Smt. S. Thakur	3					1										1	Rs.30/-	3	Nil
7.	Dr. P.K. Chatterjee	2															Nil	Rs.20/-	1	Nil
8.	Smt. M. Manna	3														2	2	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
9.	Dr. A.K. Naskar	2															Nil	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
10.	Shri I. Ghosh	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	Nil	Nil
11	Shri Subir Roy	1															Nil	Rs.76/-	Nil	Nil
12.	Shri K. Chatterjee,	Nil															N.A.	N.A.	Nil	Nil

13	Shri S.N. Basu	Nil																	N.A.	N.A.	Nil	Nil
14.	Shri S.K. Bhattacharjee	3																	Nil	Rs.30/-	1	Nil
15.	Shri H.S. Banerjee	10																	Nil	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
16.	Shri S.K. Saha Roy	9																	Nil	Rs.220/-	Nil	Nil

*Includes rejection under more than one sub-clause/clause.

Quarterly Summary of Appellate Authorities for (Kolkata Port Trust) for quarter ending December, 2008

Sl. No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No.of Appeals Received During The Qtr	No.of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions received from CIC		
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005																
			Sec 8(1)										Sections				Total	Number of decisions received during the quarter	Number of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	others			
1.	Shri S.B.Das, Secretary, Kolkata Port Trust,15,Strand Road, Kolkata PIN 700001	16								3		1				5	5*	1	NIL

*Includes only total number of applications which may have been rejected under more than one clause of RTI Act.

FORM III

Quarter wise analysis of requests for Information rejected in (name of Public Authority) under the RTI Act, 2005.

Section	QI	QII	QIII	QIV	TOTAL
Sec 8(1)(a)					
Sec8(1)(b)					
Sec 8(1)©					
Sec8(1)(d)					
Sec8(1)(e)			1		
Sec8(f)					
Sec8(1)(g)			4		
Sec8(1)(i)					
Sec8(1)(j)					
Sec 9					
Sec 11					
Sec 24					
Any Other			7		
Total			12		

KOLKATA PORT TRUST

Reports>Returns for the period 01.01.09 to 31.03.09 under Section 25(1) to 25(3) of the RTI Act, 2005

Number of requests made to each public authority		Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act.	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	The details to indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and letter of the Act.	Suitable suggestions for reform, including recommendations those for development, improvement, modernization, reform for the amendment to this Act or other legislation or common Law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the right to access the information.
(a)	Name of the Wing	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
56	Kolkata Port Trust	17 U/S.8(1)(g) - 1 U/S.8(1)(h) - 11 Others - 5	2 nos.	Nil	Rs. 1264/-	In view of increasing number of RTI applications, the number of CPIO was increased from 16 to 24 during this quarter.	If frivolous appeals are preferred by any applicant and the public authority is put to certain expenses in attending CIC hearing, the travel costs of the officials of the concerned public authority should be borne by the appellant. Whether or not appeals are frivolous can be decided by the appropriate Information Commissioners.

Quarterly Summary for CPIOs of (Shri S.B.Das, Secretary KoPT and Appellate Authority) for quarter ending March, 2009

Sr. No	Name of CPIO & Address	No. of requests received during the quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Amount of charges collected during the quarter (in Rs.)	No. of decisions received from Appellate Authority	No. of decisions received from CIC	
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005																	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections				Total			
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other				
1	Smt. S. Pradhan	10														2	2	Rs.616/-	Nil	Nil
2	Shri G. Chakraborty	2														1	1	Rs.10/-	Nil	Nil
3.	Shri R. Srivastava	1															Nil	Rs. 10/-	Nil	Nil
4	Smt. M. Ghosh	18							1	11							12	Rs.308/-	1	2
5	Shri P. Bala	2																Rs.20/-	1	Nil
6.	Smt. S. Thakur	5															Nil	Rs.50/-	Nil	Nil
7.	Dr. P.K. Chatterjee	3															Nil	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
8.	Smt. M. Manna	3														2	2	Rs.30/-	Nil	Nil
9.	Dr. A.K. Naskar	1															Nil	Rs.10/-	Nil	Nil
10.	Shri I. Ghosh	Nil															Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
11	Shri Subir Roy	4															Nil	Rs.110/-	Nil	Nil

12.	Shri K. Chatterjee,	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
13	Shri S.N. Basu	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
14.	Shri S.K. Bhattacharjee	4														Nil	Rs.40/-	Nil	Nil
15.	Shri S.K. Gupta	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
16.	Shri S.K. Saha Roy	1														Nil	Rs.20/-	Nil	Nil
17.	Shri J. Roy	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
18.	Shri B.S. Shinde	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
19.	Shri M.N. Dutta	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
20.	Shri R.C. Jena	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
21.	Dr. Prabir Bhattacharya	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
22.	Shri J. Ghosh Dastidar	2														Nil	Rs.10/-	Nil	Nil
23.	Shri S.P. Bhattacharjee	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
24.	Shri B. Sengupta	Nil														Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Quarterly Summary of Appellate Authorities for (Kolkata Port Trust) for quarter ending March, 2009

Sl. No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No. of Appeals Received During The Qtr	No. of requests rejected during the quarter													Decisions received from CIC			
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005													Number of decisions received during the quarter	Number of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action		
			Sec 8(1)										Sections					Total	
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	others			
1.	Shri S.B.Das, Secretary, Kolkata Port Trust, 15, Strand Road, Kolkata PIN 700001	16														01	1	02	NIL

Quarter wise analysis of requests for Information rejected in (Kolkata Port Trust) under the RTI Act, 2005.

2008-09

Section	QI	QII	QIII	QIV	TOTAL
Sec 8(1)(a)					
Sec8(1)(b)					
Sec 8(1)©					
Sec8(1)(d)					
Sec8(1)(e)	1		1		2
Sec8(f)					
Sec8(1)(g)		5	4	1	10
Sec8(1)(h)				11	11
Sec8(1)(i)					
Sec8(1)(j)		1			1
Sec 9					
Sec 11					
Sec 24					
Any Other	06	8	07	05	26
Total	07	14	12	17	50